

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 4401 5000-4985 Toshihisa Shimo 01/15/2002 10/053,212 **EXAMINER** 04/01/2004 7590 WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 345 Park Avenue PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** New York, NY 10154 1714

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/053,		SHIMO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
		na Wyrozebski Lee	1714	/
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMON - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximent - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. nirty (30) days, a reply within the source statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the apply after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be tile tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>29 January 20</u>	<u>004</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This action is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected. 8) Claim(s) are subject to respect to respect to the subject to the subject to the subject to respect to the subject to the sub	_ is/are withdrawn from to. estriction and/or election			
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on i Applicant may not request that an Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object.	s/are: a) accepted or a common and a common accepted or a common accepted or a construction is reconstruction in the correction is reconstruction is reconstruction.	s) be held in abeyance. Squired if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified c application from the Inte	e of: riority documents have l riority documents have l opies of the priority docu rnational Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Applica uments have been rece Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Residue Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	eview (PTO-948) 1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1714

In view of applicant's response to the office action dated 10/19/2003 following final office action has been necessitated. The prior art disclosure of TWARDOWSKA is hereby overcome by filing certified translation of the Japanese priority document. The prior art disclosure of NINOMIYA is overcome by applicant's convincing arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SYMONS (US 5,309,690).

The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art of SYMONS from paragraph 5 of the office action dated 10/29/2003 is incorporated here by reference.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/053,212

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JUENGER (US 3,830,894).

The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art of JUENGER from paragraph 10 of the office action dated 10/29/2003 is incorporated here by reference.

5. Claims 4, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JUENGER (US 3,830,894) as applied to claims 1-3, 5-7, 9 above, and further in view of ROSS (US 6,610,770).

The discussion of the disclosure of the prior art of JUENGER and ROSS from paragraph 11 of the office action dated 10/29/2003 is incorporated here by reference.

In the response to the first office action, the applicants have argued following:

- a) Applicant's arguments with respect to disclosures of TWARDOWSKA and NINOMIYA are considered moot due to discontinuation of these disclosures against present claims.
- b) The prior art of SYMONS does not disclosed organized clay mineral.

Art Unit: 1714

With respect to the above argument the examiner disagree. The prior art of SYMONS in col. 5, lines 15-18 clearly teaches expanded clay materials such as vermiculite or perlite. The mere fact that these components are expanded means that they are organized into such structure by outside forces. They may include ammonium compounds, monomers or various solvents. However, since claim 1 is silent with respect to more specific type of the organized clay the prior art of SYMONS is still applicable.

When the applicants state that the clay of the present invention is organized by use of ammonium compounds, wherein ammonium compounds will by virtue intercalate and expand the basal spacing of the clay component, such limitation is part of claim 4, which claim is not rejected by SYMONS. Incorporating such limitation into claim 1 would overcome 102 rejection over the prior art of SYMONS. By incorporating limitations of claim 4 the examiner refers to limitation of intercalation by onium cation. The examiner also noticed that term sodiomontmorillonite should be spelled sodium-montmorillonite.

c) The prior art of JUENGER does not teach filler dispersed in a binder, but skeleton like mass of particulate filler where the binder is dispersed in between the filler.

With respect to the above argument, when talking about foams they can be viewed in two ways. One, which is described by the applicants, and the other that is the very first sentence in the prior art of JUENGER, which stated that the prior art discloses filled phenol resin foam material. This means that the materials of JUENGER are foamed and are filled with fillers. The later one is the view of the examiner. The present invention does not exclude foams.

Art Unit: 1714

d) There is no teaching or suggestion to modify the disclosure of JUENGER with the clay of ROSS.

With respect to the above argument the examiner disagrees for two reasons. One is that "the finding obviousness does not require existence of express, written motivation to combine in prior art" 69 USPQ2D 1686 Ruiz v. A.B. Chance Co. The second is that prior art of JUENGER discloses exfoliated clay and swellable mica. The prior art of ROSS discloses how to obtain the exfoliated clay and swelled mica by a method that is otherwise very well known in the art.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

kiwl March 30, 2004